

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/552,879	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CARNAHAN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Siegfried E. Chencinski	<b>Art Unit</b> 3692	

**All Participants:**

(1) Siegfried E. Chencinski.

(2) Mr. John LeBlanc.

**Status of Application:** Notice of Allowance

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 8 November 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*35 USC 101 issues.*

**Claims discussed:**

*Claim 21*

**Prior art documents discussed:**


*None*

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner's amendment of claim 21 according to Applicant approved amendment of claim 21 which corrects the 35 USC 101 issue.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)